

Solitons in a gauged Landau-Lifshitz model

B. Chakraborty¹ and A. S. Majumdar²

S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences
Block-JD, Sector-III, Salt Lake, Calcutta-700091, India.

We study the gauged Landau-Lifshitz model in which a suitably chosen triplet of background scalar fields is included. It is shown that the model admits solitonic configurations.

¹e-mail: biswajit@boson.bose.res.in

²e-mail: archan@boson.bose.res.in

Ferromagnetic systems in two spatial dimensions can be described by the Landau-Lifshitz (LL) model [1] in the long wavelength limit. The discrete version of this model is equivalent to the Heisenberg model. This model involves time derivatives of the first order only, and is actually a nonrelativistic sigma model. The LL model is also equivalent to the nonrelativistic CP^1 model [2]. The relativistic counterpart, i.e., the standard $O(3)$ nonlinear sigma model (NLSM), on the contrary, describes antiferromagnetic systems [3].

It was shown by Nardelli [4] that the gauged NLSM containing an $SO(3)$ Chern-Simons (CS) term admits a new kind of topological soliton which can not be characterised by Π_2 -the second homotopy group, unlike NLSM. Later, Cho and Kimm [5] generalized the result for arbitrary CP^{N-1} , where the global $SU(N)$ group is gauged and a corresponding CS term is added. Thus the question naturally arises, whether the gauged nonrelativistic CP^1 model also admits topological solitons similar to its relativistic counterpart. We try to analyse this issue in the present letter.

To this end, we consider the model given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = \frac{i}{2} \left[(D_0 Z)^\dagger Z - Z^\dagger (D_0 Z) \right] - |D_i Z|^2 - a_0 (Z^\dagger Z - 1) \\ + \theta \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} (A_\mu^a \partial_\nu A_\lambda^a + \frac{g}{3} \epsilon^{abc} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b A_\lambda^c) + \frac{g}{2} A_0^a \phi^a \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here we have introduced a triplet of real scalar (background) fields ϕ^a , for reasons that will become clear later, and $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a complex doublet satisfying $Z^\dagger Z = 1$. θ represents the CS parameter. The covariant derivatives are given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= \partial_0 - ig A_0^a T^a \\ D_i &= \partial_i - ia_i - ig A_i^a T^a \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with $T^a = \sigma^a/2$. Here a_i and A_i^a represent the $U(1)$ and $SU(2)$ gauge fields respectively. Note that since we are considering a non-relativistic model, we have the freedom to introduce different temporal and spatial ‘‘covariant’’ derivatives in (2) without violating any principles.

The Legendre transformed Hamiltonian density which is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = |D_i Z|^2 + a_0 (Z^\dagger Z - 1) + A_0^a \left(\frac{g}{2} (M^a - \phi^a) - 2\theta B^a \right) \quad (3)$$

where $B^a = (\partial_1 A_2^a - \partial_2 A_1^a + g \epsilon^{abc} A_1^b A_2^c)$ is the non-abelian $SU(2)$ magnetic field.

Clearly, a_0 and A_0^a are the Lagrange multipliers enforcing the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= (Z^\dagger Z - 1) \approx 0 \\ G_2^a &= \frac{g}{2} (M^a - \phi^a) - 2\theta B^a \approx 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

As this nonrelativistic model is first order in time derivative, the symplectic structure can readily be obtained by using the Faddeev-Jackiw [6] method to yield the following brackets:

$$\begin{aligned}\{z_\alpha(x), z_\beta^*(y)\} &= i\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta(x-y) \\ \{A_i^a(x), A_j^b(y)\} &= \frac{\epsilon_{ij}}{2\theta}\delta^{ab}\delta(x-y)\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

G_1 and G_a^2 are the first class Gauss constraints of this model, and it can be shown that G_1 and G_a^2 generate the appropriate $U(1)$ and $SU(2)$ transformations respectively, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta Z(x) &= \int d^2y f(y)\{Z(x), G_1(y)\} = if(x)Z(x) \\ \delta Z(x) &= \int d^2y f^a(y)\{Z(x), G_2^a(y)\} = \frac{ig}{2}f^a(\sigma^a Z) \\ \delta A_\mu^a(x) &= \int d^2y f(y)\{A_\mu^a(x), G_1(y)\} = 0 \\ \delta A_i^a(x) &= \int d^2y f^b(y)\{A_i^a(x), G_2^b(y)\} = \partial_i f^a(x) + g\epsilon^{abc}A_i^b f^c\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

The momentum variable π_i conjugate to a_i vanishes,

$$\pi_i = \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta\dot{a}_i} = 0 \quad (7)$$

Preservation of this primary constraint in time yields the secondary constraint

$$a_i \approx -iZ^\dagger\partial_i Z - \frac{g}{2}A_i^a M^a \quad (8)$$

where

$$M^a = Z^\dagger\sigma^a Z \quad (9)$$

is a unit vector obtained by using the Hopf map. Clearly, (7) and (8) form a pair of second class constraints, and therefore are ‘strongly’ implemented by the Dirac bracket

$$\{a_i, \pi_j\} = 0 \quad (10)$$

With this, a_i ceases to be an independent degree of freedom. It may be mentioned here that the same thing happens to the $U(1)$ gauge field for the case of the gauged CP^1 model coupled to the CS term in its relativistic avatar which was studied in [7].

One can show that G_2^a satisfy an algebra isomorphic to the $SU(2)$ algebra.

$$\{G_2^a(x), G_2^b(y)\} \approx 2\epsilon^{abc}G_2^c(x)\delta(x-y) \quad (11)$$

Following the group theoretical arguments, as in [7], one can show that the following relations between the Gauss constraints are expected.

$$gG_1 = M^a G_2^a \quad (12)$$

This can be verified using $M^a M^a = (Z^\dagger Z)^2 \approx 1$. This shows that G_1 is not an independent constraint, and, this model therefore, has only $SU(2)$ gauge invariance. This is again similar to the case of the relativistic version of the gauged CP^1 model coupled to the Hopf term [7].

We are now equipped to address the question of solitonic configurations [8] in this model. To investigate the existence of solitons, consider the energy functional obtained from the Hamiltonian density (3) (on the constraint surface(4)), which is given by

$$E = \int d^2x (D_i Z)^\dagger (D_i Z) \quad (13)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$E = \int d^2x |(D_1 \pm iD_2)Z|^2 \pm 2\pi N \quad (14)$$

where

$$N = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int d^2x \epsilon_{ij} (D_i Z)^\dagger (D_j Z) \quad (15)$$

In order for solitons to exist, the corresponding static configurations must satisfy the saturation condition

$$(D_1 \pm iD_2)Z = 0 \quad (16)$$

and N should be given by some number which may have a topological origin. Note that N (15) is $SU(2)$ gauge invariant, and so we can evaluate N in any gauge of our choice. At this stage we rewrite N as

$$N = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2x \epsilon_{ij} \left[-2i\partial_i Z^\dagger \partial_j Z + gA_i^a (\partial_j M^a + \frac{g}{2}\epsilon^{abc} A_j^b M^c) \right] \quad (17)$$

By making use of the local $SU(2)$ gauge invariance of the model, we can go to a configuration where $Z(x) = \text{constant}$. Correspondingly, M^a 's are also constant, so that quantities like $\partial_j Z$ and $\partial_j M^a$ vanish, and N (17) reduces to

$$N = \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \int d^2x \epsilon^{abc} M^a A_1^b A_2^c \quad (18)$$

On the other hand, the invariance under $SU(2)$ (global) transformation of the model (1) implies the existence of the following triplet of conserved $SU(2)$ charges

$$Q^a = 2\theta \int d^2x (\partial_1 A_2^a - \partial_2 A_1^a) \quad (19)$$

as follows from Noether's theorem. In terms of the corresponding charge density $J^{0a} = 2\theta(\epsilon_{ij}\partial_i A_j^a)$, N becomes

$$N = \frac{g}{4\pi} M^a \int d^2x \left(B^a - \frac{J^{0a}}{2\theta} \right) \quad (20)$$

Making use of the Gauss constraint G_2 (4), one can write

$$N = \frac{g^2}{16\pi\theta} \int d^2x (1 - M^a \phi^a) - \frac{g}{8\pi\theta} M^a Q^a \quad (21)$$

Now if the background field ϕ^a satisfies the condition

$$M^a \phi^a = 1 \quad (22)$$

then using (21) and (4) one gets

$$N = -\frac{g}{8\pi\theta} M^a Q^a \quad (23)$$

$$M^a B^a = 0 \quad (24)$$

Note that all $(M^a \phi^a)$, $(M^a B^a)$, and $(M^a Q^a)$ are $SU(2)$ scalars.

At this point we make a particular gauge choice³ $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Correspondingly, $M^a = -\delta^{a3}$, and

$$B^3 = \partial_1 A_2^3 - \partial_2 A_1^3 + g\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} A_1^\alpha A_2^\beta = 0 \quad (25)$$

$(\alpha, \beta = 1, 2)$. With this Q^3 (19) can be reexpressed as

$$Q^3 = -2\theta g \int d^2x (A_1^1 A_2^2 - A_2^1 A_1^2) \quad (26)$$

(Just to remind the reader, the subscripts and superscripts of A stand for spatial and group indices respectively.) Note that in this gauge, one has only a surviving $U(1)$ symmetry. This corresponds to an $SO(2)$ rotation around the M^3 axis. Q^3 is the corresponding conserved

³Although the presence of the CS term allows for only those gauge transformations which tend to a constant at infinity, $E(13)$ and $N(15)$ are invariant under arbitrary gauge transformations.

(Noether) charge. Making use of (2) and (8), one of the saturation conditions (16), (i.e., $(D_1 + iD_2)Z = 0$) in the gauge $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, can be shown to yield

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^1 &= -A_2^2 \\ A_2^1 &= A_1^2 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Using (26) and (27) one finds

$$Q^3 = 2\theta g \int d^2x ((A_1^1)^2 + (A_2^1)^2) = 2\theta g \int d^2x ((A_2^2)^2 + (A_1^2)^2) > 0 \quad (28)$$

and consequently (using (23)),

$$N = \frac{g}{8\pi\theta} Q^3 > 0 \quad (29)$$

and the minimum value of energy is

$$E_{(min)} = 2\pi N = \frac{g}{4\theta} Q^3 = \frac{g^2}{2} \int d^2x ((A_1^1)^2 + (A_2^1)^2) > 0 \quad (30)$$

One can easily check that the other saturation condition $((D_1 - iD_2)Z = 0)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^1 &= A_2^2 \\ A_2^1 &= -A_1^2 \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

and Q^3 (26) becomes

$$Q^3 = -2\theta g \int d^2x [(A_1^1)^2 + (A_2^1)^2] < 0 \quad (32)$$

With this, N is given as

$$N = \frac{g}{8\pi\theta} Q^3 < 0 \quad (33)$$

But now, E_{min} is given by

$$E_{min} = -2\pi N = -\frac{g}{4\theta} Q^3 = \frac{g^2}{2} \int d^2x [(A_1^1)^2 + (A_2^1)^2] > 0 \quad (34)$$

Thus, either of the saturation conditions (16) yields the same (positive definite) value for E_{min} as desired. The only difference is that the two conditions in (16) correspond to the positive and negative values for the number N .

Thus we must have either of the saturation conditions corresponding to the configuration $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Also note that for $E_{(min)}$ to be finite, A^1 and A^2 must vanish asymptotically. Thus asymptotically, B^3 (25) becomes a $U(1)$ magnetic field with A_i^3 as the abelian gauge field. The points at infinity can be identified, so that the two-dimensional plane gets effectively compactified to S^2 . From (19), it then follows that Q^3 represents a topological index, which is nothing but the first Chern class. Clearly, E_{min} ((30) and (34)) is given by a topological index. Thus these field configurations satisfying either of the saturation conditions (27) or (31) correspond to topological solitons, with positive or negative “winding numbers” (N). The same holds for all other configurations obtained by gauge transformations. For example, for any other configuration with $Z(x) = \text{constant}$, the E_{min} will be given by an appropriate Q^a obtained by making a suitable $SO(3)$ rotation corresponding to the $SU(2)$ transformation required to get $Z = \text{constant}$ configuration from $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. But the value of E_{min} will remain the same. It is important to note that we had to choose a convenient gauge here to identify E_{min} with a topological index (up to a constant). It is rather nontrivial to make this identification in an arbitrary gauge.

Note further, that if in addition, $\phi^a = M^a$, then $B^a = 0$ (4) and A_i^a are pure gauges. In this case we can go to a gauge where $A_i^a = 0$ and $D_i|_{A_i=0} = \mathcal{D}_i$ (the covariant derivative operator of the pure CP^1 model). Here also N corresponds to the topological index (Chern class) and we have a topological soliton.

Finally, note that for $\phi^a = 0$, the first term in N (21) diverges, and there does not exist any solitonic configuration. It was thus necessary to introduce a triplet of background scalar fields ϕ^a in (1), satisfying (22) in order to obtain solitonic configurations. This is in contrast to the case of the relativistic model [5] and its reduced phase space version [7].

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, Phys. A (Soviet Union) **8** (1935) 153; A. Kosevich, B. Ivanov and A. Kovaler, Phys. Rep. **194** (1990) 117.
- [2] E. Flotaror, Phys. Lett. B **279** (1992) 117; R. Banerjee and B. Chakraborty, Nucl. Phys. B **449** (1995) 317.
- [3] E. Fradkin, “Field Theories in Condensed Matter Systems” (Addison Wesley, Redwood City, CA, 1991).
- [4] G. Nardelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** (1994) 2524.
- [5] Y. Cho and K. Kimm, Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 7325.
- [6] L. Faddeev and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988) 1692.
- [7] B. Chakraborty and A. S. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. D **58** (1998) 125024.
- [8] R. Rajaraman, “Solitons and Instantons” (North Holland, 1982); C. Rebbi and G. Soliani, “Solitons and Particles”, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1984); V. A. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Rep. **116** (1984) 103.